

# Three-Dimensional $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theories And Their Relations

Tianjun Li<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. China*

<sup>2</sup>*George P. and Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics,  
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

(Dated: October 13, 2008)

## Abstract

We propose three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories with two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  representations and in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{N}})$  representations, respectively. We also propose the superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories that have  $n$  pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields with  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \mp \mathbf{1})$  or  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \pm \mathbf{1})$ . Although these  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories have global symmetry  $SU(2n)$ , the R-symmetry is  $SO(6)$  for  $n = 2$ , and might be  $SO(2n)$  or  $SO(2n+1)$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 8$ . In addition, we show that from either the generalized ABJM theories, or our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, or the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge theories, we can derive all the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories except the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  gauge theory and our  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories with  $n \neq 2$  and 4. Furthermore, we derive the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory from the BLG theory, and study the corresponding moduli space. With the novel Higgs mechanism in the unitary gauge, we suggest that it describes a D2-brane and a decoupled D2-brane.

PACS numbers: 04.65.+e, 04.50.-h, 11.25.Hf

## I. INTRODUCTION

A Lagrangian description for the worldvolume of multiple M2-branes is very important to understand the M-theory. Especially, the superconformal field theory on the worldvolume of multiple M2-branes is dual to the M-theory on  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  in the AdS/CFT correspondence. The superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories without Yang-Mills kinetic terms have been studied for this purpose [1], but, they did not have enough supersymmetry. Along this approach, Barger and Lambert [2, 3], as well as Gustavsson [4] (BLG) have successfully constructed three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theory with manifest  $SO(8)$  R-symmetry based on three algebra. And then there is intensive research on three-dimensional superconformal gauge theories and their relations to the low energy theory on M2-branes [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Although the BLG theory is expected to describe any number of M2-branes, its gauge group can only be  $SO(4)$  for the positive definite metric [9, 10, 11]. At the Chern-Simons level one, the BLG  $SO(4)$  gauge theory describes two M2-branes on a  $R^8/Z_2$  orbifold [7, 8].

To generalize the BLG theory so that it can describe an arbitrary number of M2-branes, Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis and Maldacena (ABJM) have constructed three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories with groups  $U(N) \times U(N)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  [13] (For Chern-Simons gauge theories with  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  and 4 supersymmetries, see Refs. [14, 15]). Using brane constructions they argued that the  $U(N) \times U(N)$  theory at Chern-Simons level  $k$  describes the low-energy limit of  $N$  M2-branes on a  $C^4/Z_k$  orbifold. In particular, for  $k = 1$  and 2, ABJM conjectured that their theory describes the  $N$  M2-branes respectively in the flat space and on a  $R^8/Z_2$  orbifold, and then might have  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry. Especially, the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  theory has enhanced  $SO(8)$  R-symmetry and is the same as the BLG theory [13]. And it was proposed that the  $U(2) \times U(2)$  theory might also have the enhanced  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry at Chern-Simons level one and two [16]. Moreover, the ABJM theories can be easily generalized to have the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge symmetry [13, 17]. Thus, in this paper, we will define the ABJM theories as the generalized ABJM theories that can have gauge groups  $U(N) \times U(M)$  or  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ . In addition, the superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories with  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  and 6 supersymmetries have been classified recently [17, 18, 19, 20, 21]: the gauge groups for  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  supersymmetry are  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ ,  $U(N) \times U(M)$ , and  $U(1) \times USp(2N)$ ; and the gauge groups for  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  supersymmetry are  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  and  $G_2 \times USp(2)$ . We would like to emphasize that for all the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories that have been constructed so far [13, 17, 19], there are two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{M})$  representations, and in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{N}})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N})$  representations, respectively.

As we know, the gauge symmetry for  $N$  stacks of D-branes in Type II theories is  $U(N)$ . With orientifold actions, we can obtain the  $SO(M)$  or  $USp(2N)$  gauge symmetry for the D-branes on the top of orientifold planes via orientifold projections. Moreover, from the  $SO(M)$  or  $USp(2N)$  gauge symmetry for the D-branes on the top of orientifold planes, we can obtain back the  $U(N)$  gauge symmetry by putting the same number of D-branes on all the orientifold images (for an example, see Ref. [22]). Therefore, it seems to us that the generic three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories may be derived from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge theories and the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge theories.

In this paper, we first propose the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge theories with two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  representations, and the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  gauge theories with two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{N}})$  representations. For our  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theory, we obtain the BLG theory when  $N = 2$ . Moreover, we propose the superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories that have  $n$  pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields with the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(+\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1})$  and  $(-\mathbf{1}, +\mathbf{1})$ , or the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(+\mathbf{1}, +\mathbf{1})$  and  $(-\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1})$ . These theories have global symmetry  $SU(2n)$ , and it seems to us that the R-symmetry is  $SO(6)$  for  $n = 2$ , and might be  $SO(2n)$  or  $SO(2n+1)$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 8$ .

With the similar mechanism for Wilson line gauge symmetry breaking, we show that in the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N') \times U(M')$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(N)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, and the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N') \times U(N')$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, where  $N' \leq N$ ,  $M' \leq N$ , and  $N' \leq M$ . In addition, we prove that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be derived from the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  theories. Also, both the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories can be derived from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(2N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories. Moreover, we point out that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(2) \times USp(2N)$  gauge theories have enhanced  $SO(6)$  R-symmetry, and become the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times USp(2N)$  gauge theories. And it seems to us that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory might be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(7) \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory since  $G_2$  is a special maximal subgroup of  $SO(7)$ .

Furthermore, we derive the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory from the BLG theory, which can be considered as our above superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory with four pairs of chiral superfields whose  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges are  $(+\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1})$  and  $(-\mathbf{1}, +\mathbf{1})$ . And the moduli space has been studied in details. With the novel

Higgs mechanism in the unitary gauge, we show explicitly that this theory may describe a D2-brane and a decoupled D2-brane, and we present the concrete physics picture as well. Although the R-symmetry in our theory should be  $SO(8)$ , the global symmetry is indeed  $SU(8)$ . We emphasize that this superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory is different from the ABJM  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theory since we have eight bifundamental chiral superfields.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we propose the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  gauge theories, and the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories. In Section III, we study the relations among the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories. In Section IV, we consider three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory in details. Our discussion and conclusions are given in Section V.

## II. NEW THREE-DIMENSIONAL SUPERCONFORMAL CHERN-SIMONS GAUGE THEORIES

In this Section, we will propose the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  gauge theories, and the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories. The detail study of our theories will be presented elsewhere. Although the Chern-Simons level  $k$  for the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N)_k \times U(M)_{-k}$  gauge theories should be equal to or larger than  $|N - M|$  [21], *i.e.*,  $k \geq |N - M|$ , we will neglect this subtlety for simplicity in the following discussions.

### A. $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Superconformal $U(N) \times U(M)$ Theories

Following the ABJM construction [13], we consider the  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  supersymmetric  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories. Starting from the field content of an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric theory, we need to add to the  $U(N)$  and  $U(M)$  vector multiplets the auxiliary chiral multiplets  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  in the  $U(N)$  and  $U(M)$  adjoint representations, respectively. Moreover, we introduce two hypermultiplets whose chiral superfields are in the bifundamental representations  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$ . To be concrete, we denote the bifundamental chiral superfields as  $(Z_i)_{a\alpha}$  and  $(W_i)_{\bar{a}\bar{\alpha}}$  with  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $a$  ( $\bar{a}$ ) and  $\alpha$  ( $\bar{\alpha}$ ) are (anti-)fundamental indices for  $U(N)$  and  $U(M)$ , respectively. We also choose the Chern-Simons levels of the two gauge groups to be equal but opposite in sign. The superpotential in our theories is

$$W = \frac{k}{8\pi} (\text{tr}\Phi'^2 - \text{Tr}\Phi^2) + \text{tr}(W_i\Phi Z_i) + \text{Tr}(W_i^T\Phi'Z_i^T) \ , \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{Tr}$  and  $\text{tr}$  are the traces for  $U(N)$  and  $U(M)$  gauge groups, respectively, and the upper index  $T$  means transpose. Because there are no kinetic terms for the auxiliary fields

$\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , we can integrate them out and obtain the superpotential

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{2\pi}{k} (\text{Tr}(Z_i W_i Z_j W_j) - \text{tr}(Z_i^T W_i^T Z_j^T W_j^T)) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{k} \text{Tr}(Z_i W_i Z_j W_j - Z_i W_j Z_j W_i) . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

So, we can rewrite it as follows

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{k} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\dot{\rho}\dot{\sigma}} \text{Tr}(Z_\rho W_{\dot{\rho}} Z_\sigma W_{\dot{\sigma}}) . \quad (3)$$

Thus, there are explicit  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  symmetry acting respectively on the  $Z_i$  and  $W_i$ . In addition, we have  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry under which the bosonic components  $z_i$  and  $w_i^*$  of  $Z_i$  and  $W_i^*$  transform as a doublet. Because the  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry does not commute with the above  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  symmetry, we can have the  $SU(4)$  global symmetry under which the four bosonic fields  $(z_1, z_2, w_1^*, w_2^*)$  transform in the fundamental **4** representation. The supercharges can not be singlets under this  $SU(4)$  because  $SU(2)_R$  is R-symmetry. Note that the generic three-dimensional superconformal theories have  $SO(N)$  R-symmetry with the supercharge in the fundamental representation, we should have at least  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal symmetry.

If  $N$  is equal to  $M$ , similar to the above discussions, we can construct the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theories as well. In this case, we can have another global  $U(1)_b$  baryon number symmetry under which  $Z_i$  and  $W_i$  have charges  $+1$  and  $-1$ , respectively. And we would like to emphasize that our  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  theory has enhanced  $SO(8)$  R-symmetry and then is the same as the BLG theory.

Furthermore, our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories are related to the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories by the following transformation on the generators  $\hat{T}^a$  of the second gauge group  $U(M)$

$$\hat{T}^a \longrightarrow -\hat{T}^{aT} . \quad (4)$$

## B. Superconformal $U(1) \times U(1)$ Gauge Theories with $SU(2n)$ Global Symmetry

Similar to the above subsection, we consider the  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  supersymmetric  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories and begin from the field content of an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric theory. The auxiliary chiral multiplets for the first and second  $U(1)$  are  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  respectively. For the matter fields, we consider two scenarios

(I) We introduce  $n$  hypermultiplets whose chiral superfields have  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(+1, -1)$  and  $(-1, +1)$ . To be concrete, we denote the bifundamental chiral superfields as  $(Z_i)_{a\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $(W_i)_{\alpha\bar{a}}$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $a$  ( $\bar{a}$ ) and  $\alpha$  ( $\bar{\alpha}$ ) are the charge  $+1$  ( $-1$ ) indices for the first and second  $U(1)$ s, respectively. We also choose the Chern-Simons levels of the

two gauge groups to be equal but opposite in sign. The superpotential is

$$W = \frac{k}{8\pi} (\Phi'^2 - \Phi^2) + W_i \Phi Z_i - W_i \Phi' Z_i . \quad (5)$$

In general, we only need to require that the Chern-Simons level of  $U(1)$ s be a rational number, *i.e.*,  $k = p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively coprime.

Integrating out  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , we obtain

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{k} (Z_i W_i Z_j W_j - Z_i W_i Z_j W_j) \equiv 0 . \quad (6)$$

Interestingly, the superpotential vanishes. Therefore, we have  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$  global symmetry acting on  $Z_i$  and  $W_i$ , respectively. Because  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry does not commute with this  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$  symmetry, we should have an  $SU(2n)$  global symmetry under which the bosonic fields  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, w_1^*, w_2^*, \dots, w_n^*)$  transform in the  $\mathbf{2n}$  fundamental representation of  $SU(2n)$ . Note that the supercharges can not be singlets under this  $SU(4)$  because its subgroup  $SU(2)_R$  is R-symmetry, we conjecture that for  $n = 2$ , the R-symmetry is  $SU(4)$  or  $SO(6)$ ; for  $n = 3$ , the R-symmetry is  $SO(7)$  ( $SU(6) \supset USp(6) \sim SO(7)$ ); and for  $4 \leq n \leq 8$ , the R-symmetry is  $SO(2n)$  or  $SO(2n + 1)$ . In Section IV, we will derive the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory with  $n = 4$  from the BLG theory, and then it has at least  $SO(8)$  R-symmetry.

(II) We can introduce  $n$  hypermultiplets whose chiral superfields have  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(+\mathbf{1}, +\mathbf{1})$  and  $(-\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1})$ . We denote the bifundamental chiral superfields as  $(Z'_i)_{a\alpha}$  and  $(W'_i)_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{a}}$ . And the Chern-Simons levels of the two gauge groups are chosen to be equal but opposite in sign. The superpotential is

$$W = \frac{k}{8\pi} (\Phi'^2 - \Phi^2) + W'_i \Phi Z'_i + W'_i \Phi' Z'_i . \quad (7)$$

Integrating out  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , we obtain

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{k} (Z'_i W'_i Z'_j W'_j - Z'_i W'_i Z'_j W'_j) \equiv 0 . \quad (8)$$

Because all the rest discussions are the same as those in the above scenario, we will not repeat them here.

Furthermore, the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories with  $n$  pairs of chiral superfields whose  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges are  $(\pm\mathbf{1}, \mp\mathbf{1})$  are related to the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories with  $n$  pairs of chiral superfields whose  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges are  $(\pm\mathbf{1}, \pm\mathbf{1})$  via the following transformation on the gauge field  $\hat{A}_\mu$  of the second  $U(1)$

$$\hat{A}_\mu \longrightarrow -\hat{A}_\mu . \quad (9)$$

### III. RELATIONS AMONG THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ SUPERCONFORMAL GAUGE THEORIES

Let us briefly review the ABJM theories with generic gauge groups  $U(N) \times U(M)$  or  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  [13]. Following the convention in Ref. [23], we can write the explicit Lagrangian as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & 2K\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\text{Tr}\left(A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2i}{3}A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda - \hat{A}_\mu\partial_\nu\hat{A}_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3}\hat{A}_\mu\hat{A}_\nu\hat{A}_\lambda\right) - \text{Tr}\left((\mathcal{D}_\mu Z)^\dagger\mathcal{D}^\mu Z\right. \\ & \left.+ (\mathcal{D}_\mu W)^\dagger\mathcal{D}^\mu W + i\zeta^\dagger\gamma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\zeta + i\omega^\dagger\gamma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\omega\right) - V_{\text{Bos}} - V_{\text{Ferm}} , \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$K = \frac{k}{8\pi} , \quad (11)$$

$$Z^1 = X^1 + iX^5 , \quad Z^2 = X^2 + iX^6 , \quad W_1 = X^{3\dagger} - iX^{7\dagger} , \quad W_2 = X^{4\dagger} - iX^{8\dagger} , \quad (12)$$

where  $X^i$  belongs to the bifundamental  $(\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  representation of  $U(N) \times U(M)$ , or the bifundamental  $(\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{N}})$  representation of  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ . Also,  $(Z^i, \zeta^i)$  and  $(W_i, \omega_i)$  form chiral superfields. For simplicity, we will not distinguish the trace between the  $U(N)$  and  $U(M)$ . To write the potential  $V_{\text{Bos}}$  and  $V_{\text{Ferm}}$  that are invariant explicitly under  $SU(4)$  R-symmetry, we define

$$Y^A = \{Z^A, W^{\dagger A}\} , \quad Y_A^\dagger = \{Z_A^\dagger, W_A\} , \quad (13)$$

$$\psi_A = \{\epsilon_{AB}\zeta^B e^{-i\pi/4}, -\epsilon_{AB}\omega^{\dagger B} e^{i\pi/4}\} , \quad \psi^{A\dagger} = \{-\epsilon^{AB}\zeta_B^\dagger e^{i\pi/4}, \epsilon^{AB}\omega_B e^{-i\pi/4}\} . \quad (14)$$

And then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{Bos}} = & -\frac{1}{48K^2}\text{Tr}\left(Y^A Y_A^\dagger Y^B Y_B^\dagger Y^C Y_C^\dagger + Y_A^\dagger Y^A Y_B^\dagger Y^B Y_C^\dagger Y^C\right. \\ & \left.+ 4Y^A Y_B^\dagger Y^C Y_A^\dagger Y^B Y_C^\dagger - 6Y^A Y_B^\dagger Y^B Y_A^\dagger Y^C Y_C^\dagger\right) , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{Ferm}} = & \frac{i}{4K}\text{Tr}\left(Y_A^\dagger Y^A \psi^{B\dagger} \psi_B - Y^A Y_A^\dagger \psi_B \psi^{B\dagger} + 2Y^A Y_B^\dagger \psi_A \psi^{B\dagger} - 2Y_A^\dagger Y^B \psi^{A\dagger} \psi_B\right. \\ & \left.- \epsilon^{ABCD} Y_A^\dagger \psi_B Y_C^\dagger \psi_D + \epsilon_{ABCD} Y^A \psi^{B\dagger} Y^C \psi^{D\dagger}\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For convention, we choose

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab} , \quad [T^a, T^b] = if_{abc}T^c , \quad (17)$$

where  $T^{a,b,c}$  are the generators of the corresponding gauge group.

The Lagrangians for our  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  and  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theories are similar to the above ABJM theories except that  $X^i$  belongs to the bifundamental  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  representation for  $U(N) \times U(M)$  or the bifundamental  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})$  representation for  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ . Moreover, we obtain the Lagrangians for the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge theories from the above ABJM theories by changing the gauge groups to  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  and requiring the reality conditions

$$(W^{A\dagger})_{a\bar{\alpha}} = \delta_{a\bar{b}} \omega_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} \epsilon^{AB} (Z^{B*})_{\bar{b}\beta} , \quad (18)$$

where  $\omega_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$  is the anti-symmetric invariant tensor of  $USp(2M)$ .

In the ABJM and our theories, the  $U(N) \times U(N)$  theories are related to the  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theories. Roughly speaking, the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(N)$  theories are the direct sum of the ABJM  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theories and our  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theories that have  $n = 2N^2$  pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields with  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \mp \mathbf{1})$ . And our  $U(N) \times U(N)$  theories are the direct sum of our  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theories and our  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theories that have  $n = 2N^2$  pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields with  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \pm \mathbf{1})$ . Exactly speaking, these statements are not completely correct since the matter fields are the same matter fields for both  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  and  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theories. Thus, in the following discussions, we will concentrate on the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories proposed by ABJM and us for simplicity.

To study the relations among the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories, similar to the Wilson line gauge symmetry breaking, we introduce a discrete symmetry to the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, and the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories. Requiring that the theories be invariant under the discrete symmetry, we obtain the new three-dimensional superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories. For simplicity, we shall only consider the  $Z_2$  symmetry in this paper.

### A. Relations Among the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Superconformal $U(N) \times U(M)$ Theories

We consider the ABJM theories and our theories with  $U(N) \times U(M)$  group. And we introduce the following  $Z_2$  transformations that act on the gauge fields  $A_\mu$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu$

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow \Omega A_\mu \Omega^\dagger , \quad \hat{A}_\mu \longrightarrow \hat{\Omega} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{\Omega}^\dagger , \quad (19)$$

where  $\Omega^2 = 1$ , and  $\hat{\Omega}^2 = 1$ . For the ABJM theories, the matter fields  $(Z^i, \zeta^i)$  and  $(W_i, \omega_i)$  transform as

$$(Z^i, \zeta^i) \longrightarrow (\Omega Z^i \hat{\Omega}^\dagger, \Omega \zeta^i \hat{\Omega}^\dagger) , \quad (W_i, \omega_i) \longrightarrow (\hat{\Omega} W_i \Omega^\dagger, \hat{\Omega} \omega_i \Omega^\dagger) . \quad (20)$$



And for our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, the matter fields transform as

$$(Z^i, \zeta^i) \longrightarrow (\Omega Z^i \hat{\Omega}^T, \Omega \zeta^i \hat{\Omega}^T), \quad (W_i, \omega_i) \longrightarrow (\hat{\Omega}^T W_i \Omega, \hat{\Omega}^T \omega_i \Omega). \quad (21)$$

To derive the new theories, we choose the following representations for  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} I_{N1 \times N1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{N2 \times N2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{M1 \times M1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{M2 \times M2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where  $I_{n \times n}$  is the  $n$  by  $n$  identity matrix. In addition,  $N1 > 0$ ,  $N2 \geq 0$ ,  $M1 > 0$ ,  $M2 \geq 0$ ,  $N1 + N2 = N$ , and  $M1 + M2 = M$ . Therefore, the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories with group  $U(N) \times U(M)$  are broken down to two decoupled three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories with groups  $U(N1) \times U(M1)$  and  $U(N2) \times U(M2)$ . Also, if  $N2 = 0$  (or  $M2 = 0$ ), we will have pure Chern-Simons gauge theories with group  $U(M2)$  (or  $U(N2)$ ) which can have any desired amount of supersymmetry [1]. In short, we have showed that in the ABJM theories and our theories, the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N') \times U(M')$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be obtained from  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, and the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N') \times U(N')$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, where  $N' \leq N$ ,  $M' \leq M$ , and  $N' \leq M$ .

## B. Relations between the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Superconformal $U(N) \times U(M)$ Chern-Simons Gauge Theories and the $\mathcal{N} = 5$ Superconformal $O(N) \times USp(2M)$ Chern-Simons Gauge Theories

First, we would like to derive the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  gauge theories proposed by ABJM and us. We introduce the following  $Z_2$  transformations that act on the gauge fields  $A_\mu$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu$

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow -A_\mu^T, \quad \hat{A}_\mu \longrightarrow J \hat{A}_\mu J, \quad (23)$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{M \times M} \\ -I_{M \times M} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

For the ABJM theories, the matter fields  $Y^A$  transform as

$$(Y^A)_{a\bar{\alpha}} \longrightarrow \tilde{J}^{AB} \delta_{a\bar{b}} (Y^{B*})_{\bar{b}\beta} J_{\beta\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (25)$$

and for our theories, the matter fields transform as

$$(Y^A)_{a\alpha} \longrightarrow \tilde{J}^{AB} \delta_{a\bar{b}} (Y^{B*})_{\bar{b}\bar{\beta}} J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} , \quad (26)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $U(N)$  indices, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $U(2M)$  indices, and

$$\tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (27)$$

Also, the transformations for  $\psi_A$  are similar to those for  $Y^A$ .

Similar to the D-branes on the orientifold planes, we break the  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  gauge symmetry down to the  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge symmetry. In addition, the  $SU(4)$  R-symmetry is broken down to the  $USp(4)$  due to  $\tilde{J}$  projections. And we only have a single bifundamental hypermultiplet, or equivalently a pair of bifundamental chiral superfields. Therefore, requiring that the new theory be invariant under the transformations in Eqs. (23) and (25) or (26), we obtain the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories since  $USp(4) \simeq SO(5)$ .

Note that  $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$ , the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal symmetry in the  $O(2) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories is enhanced to the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal symmetry. The point is that under the gauge symmetry  $U(1) \times USp(2M)$ , we will have two bifundamental hypermultiplets, or equivalently two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields. And then, the R-symmetry is indeed  $SU(4)$ . Thus, we can derive the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories from the ABJM theories and our theories with gauge group  $U(2) \times U(2M)$ . Although  $SO(3) \simeq SU(2)$  and  $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ , we can show that there is no enhanced  $SO(6)$  superconformal symmetry in the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(3) \times USp(2M)$  and  $O(6) \times USp(2M)$  gauge theories.

For  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory, it seems to us that we might not have the multiple M2-branes' interpretation. However, we indeed might obtain such theory from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories. Note that  $G_2$  is a special maximal subgroup of  $SO(7)$ , it seems to us that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory might be derived from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(7) \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory.

In short, from the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, we can derive the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories and the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times USp(2N)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, and might derive the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory.

Next, we will derive the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(2N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories. We denote the gauge fields of  $O(2N)$  and  $USp(2M)$  as  $A_\mu$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu$ , and the bifundamental hypermultiplet in terms of two bifundamental chiral superfields  $\Sigma_{a\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\alpha a}$  where  $a$  and  $\alpha$  are indices for  $O(2N)$  and  $USp(2M)$ , respectively. Following the Ref. [24], we choose the following generators for  $U(N)$

$$(T_{ab})_{ij} = (e_{a,b})_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ai}\delta_{bj} , \quad (28)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are from 1 to  $N$ . In addition, we choose the generators for  $SO(2N)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}^1 &\equiv e_{a,b} - e_{a+N,b+N} , \\ T_{ab}^2 &\equiv e_{a,b+N} - e_{b,a+N} , \quad \text{where } a < b , \\ T_{ab}^3 &\equiv e_{a+N,b} - e_{b+N,a} , \quad \text{where } a < b , \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are from 1 to  $N$  with possible extra conditions on  $a$  given above. And we choose the following generators for  $USp(2M)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}^1 &\equiv e_{a,b} - e_{a+M,b+M} , \\ T_{ab}^2 &\equiv e_{a,b+M} + e_{b,a+M} , \\ T_{ab}^3 &\equiv e_{a+M,b} + e_{b+M,a} , \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are from 1 to  $M$ .

The fundamental representation of  $SO(2N)$  can be decomposed as the representations of  $SU(N) \times U(1)$  as follows

$$2\mathbf{N} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{N}, +1) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{N}}, -1) , \quad (31)$$

where we have normalized the  $U(1)$  properly. Also, the fundamental representation of  $USp(2M)$  can be decomposed as the representations of  $SU(M) \times U(1)$  as follows

$$2\mathbf{M} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{M}, +1) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{M}}, -1) . \quad (32)$$

Simiar to the above discussions, we introduce the following  $Z_2$  transformations that act on the  $O(2N)$  and  $USp(2M)$  gauge fields  $A_\mu$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu$ , and the matter fields  $\Sigma$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}$

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow \Omega A_\mu \Omega , \quad \hat{A}_\mu \longrightarrow \hat{\Omega} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{\Omega} , \quad (33)$$

$$\Sigma \longrightarrow \Omega \Sigma \hat{\Omega} , \quad \hat{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \hat{\Omega} \hat{\Sigma} \Omega . \quad (34)$$

To derive the ABJM theories with  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge group, we choose

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} I_{N \times N} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{N \times N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_{M \times M} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{M \times M} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

So, the  $O(2N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge symmetry is broken down to the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  symmetry. Also, we obtain a pair of chiral superfields in the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  bifundamental representations  $(\mathbf{N}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{M})$  from  $\Sigma$ , and another pair of chiral superfields in the same representations from  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . Therefore, we can have the enhanced  $SU(4)$  R-symmetry and indeed derive the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal ABJM theories with gauge group  $U(N) \times U(M)$ .

Furthermore, to derive our theories with  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge group, we choose

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} I_{N \times N} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{N \times N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{M \times M} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{M \times M} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Thus, the  $O(2N) \times USp(2M)$  gauge symmetry is broken down to the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  symmetry. Moreover, we obtain a pair of chiral superfields in the  $U(N) \times U(M)$  bifundamental representations  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  from  $\Sigma$ , and another pair of chiral superfields in the same representations from  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . Therefore, we can also have the enhanced  $SU(4)$  R-symmetry and derive our  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal theories with gauge group  $U(N) \times U(M)$ .

#### IV. THREE-DIMENSIONAL $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUPERCONFORMAL $U(1) \times U(1)$ THEORY FROM THE BLG THEORY

We shall briefly review the BLG theory in the product gauge group formulation by van Raamsdonk [6]. In this formulation, the BLG theory is rewritten as a superconformal Chern-Simons theory with the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  gauge group and bifundamental matters, which has a manifest global  $SO(8)$  R-symmetry. The Lagrangian is given by [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2f} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda) - \frac{1}{2f} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (\hat{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\lambda + \frac{2i}{3} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{A}_\nu \hat{A}_\lambda) \right. \\ & - (\mathcal{D}^\mu X^I)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu X^I + i \bar{\Psi}^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \Psi - \frac{8f^2}{3} X^{[I} X^{\dagger J} X^{K]} X^{\dagger [K} X^J X^{\dagger I]} \\ & \left. - \frac{2if}{3} \bar{\Psi}^\dagger \Gamma_{IJ} (X^I X^{J\dagger} \Psi + X^J \Psi^\dagger X^I + \Psi X^{I\dagger} X^J) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where the fermions  $\Psi$  are represented by 32-component Majorana spinors of  $SO(1, 10)$  subject to a chirality condition on the world volume which leaves 16 real degrees of freedom. And the covariant derivative is

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu X = \partial_\mu X + i A_\mu X - i X \hat{A}_\mu. \quad (38)$$

The Chern-Simons level  $k$  is related to  $f$  as follows

$$f = \frac{2\pi}{k} . \quad (39)$$

The bifundamental scalars  $X^I$  are related to the original BLG variables  $x_a^I$  with  $SO(4)$  index  $a$  through

$$X^I = \frac{1}{2}(x_4^I I_{2 \times 2} + i x_i^I \sigma^i) , \quad (40)$$

where  $\sigma^i$  are the Pauli matrices. And there is a similar expression for spinor  $\Psi$ . Also, the scalars need to satisfy the reality condition

$$X_{a\bar{\alpha}}^I = \epsilon_{a\bar{b}} \epsilon_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} (X^{I\dagger})^{\beta\bar{b}} . \quad (41)$$

To be concrete, we define  $X^I$  as following

$$X^I \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} z^I & w^I \\ -\bar{w}^I & \bar{z}^I \end{pmatrix} , \quad (42)$$

where

$$z^I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_4^I + ix_3^I) , \quad w^I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_2^I + ix_1^I) . \quad (43)$$

Moreover, the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  gauge transformations are

$$A_\mu \rightarrow U A_\mu U^\dagger - iU \partial_\mu U^\dagger , \quad \hat{A}_\mu \rightarrow \hat{U} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{U}^\dagger - i\hat{U} \partial_\mu \hat{U}^\dagger , \quad X^I \rightarrow U X^I \hat{U}^\dagger . \quad (44)$$

Similar to the last Section, we consider the  $Z_2$  discrete symmetry. Under  $Z_2$  symmetry, the gauge fields and matter fields transform as follows

$$A_\mu \rightarrow \Omega A_\mu \Omega^\dagger , \quad \hat{A}_\mu \rightarrow \hat{\Omega} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{\Omega}^\dagger , \quad X^I \rightarrow \Omega X^I \hat{\Omega}^\dagger . \quad (45)$$

The transformations for  $\Psi$  are similar to these for  $X^I$ . And there are three kinds of independent and non-trivial representations for  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (46)$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (47)$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (48)$$

For the first case with  $\Omega$  and  $\widehat{\Omega}$  in Eq. (46), the gauge group  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  is broken down to  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ . In addition, the components  $w^I$  and  $\bar{z}^I$  of  $X^I$  are projected out. So the reality condition in Eq. (41) can not be satisfied. Note that only the components  $z^I$  and  $\bar{w}^I$  of  $X^I$  can not form the complete chiral superfields under the remaining gauge symmetry  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ . In order to have the complete complex representation under  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ , we redefine

$$Y^i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} z^i + iz^{i+4} \\ -\bar{w}^i + i\bar{w}^{i+4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

where  $i=1, 2, 3, 4$ . The discussions for the spinor are similar. Thus, we have four chiral superfields in the bifundamental representation of  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ . And then, we obtain the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  theory, *i.e.*, the ABJM theory and our theory with group  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  since these two theories are identical in this case. The discussions for the second case with  $\Omega$  and  $\widehat{\Omega}$  in Eq. (47) are the same as the first case since they are related by the parity symmetry.

The most interesting case is the third one with  $\Omega$  and  $\widehat{\Omega}$  in Eq. (48). We break the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  gauge symmetry down to its Cartan subgroup  $U(1) \times U(1)$ , and we project out the components  $w^I$  and  $\bar{w}^I$ . Thus, we have eight complex scalar fields  $z^I$  with charge  $(+1, -1)$  under gauge group  $U(1) \times U(1)$ . The discussions for the spinor  $\Psi$  are similar, and we get a complex Dirac fermion  $\psi$  with charge  $(+1, -1)$  under gauge group  $U(1) \times U(1)$ . Similar to Ref. [23], we can rewrite  $(z^i, \psi)$  as 8 chiral superfields, which will not be discussed here. Because we do not break the supersymmetry, we obtain the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory. And the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4f} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left( A_\mu^3 \partial_\nu A_\lambda^3 - \widehat{A}_\mu^3 \partial_\nu \widehat{A}_\lambda^3 \right) - (\mathcal{D}^\mu z^I)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu z^I + i\psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi, \quad (50)$$

where the covariant derivative is

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu z^I = \partial_\mu z^I + \frac{i}{2} (A_\mu^3 - \widehat{A}_\mu^3) z^I. \quad (51)$$

We define

$$a_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} (A_\mu^3 + \widehat{A}_\mu^3), \quad \hat{a}_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} (A_\mu^3 - \widehat{A}_\mu^3). \quad (52)$$

And then we rewrite the above Lagrangian in Eq. (50) as follows

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2f} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \hat{a}_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} - (\mathcal{D}^\mu z^I)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu z^I + i\psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi, \quad (53)$$

where

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu z^I = \partial_\mu z^I + i\hat{a}_\mu z^I. \quad (54)$$

So, only the  $\hat{a}_\mu$  gauge field couples to the matter fields. In particular, because the gauge symmetry in our theory is  $U(1) \times U(1)$ , the level  $k$  can be a rational number in general, *i.e.*,  $k = p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime. We would like to point out that this  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory derived from the BLG theory is the same as our  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theory with four pairs of chiral superfields whose  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges are  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \mp \mathbf{1})$ .

### A. Moduli Space

We will study the moduli space of our  $U(1) \times U(1)$  theory, and focus on the gauge fields and scalar fields. The discussions are similar to those in Refs. [7, 8]. The gauge transformations for  $A_\mu^3$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu^3$  are

$$A_\mu^3 \longrightarrow A_\mu^3 - \partial_\mu \theta, \quad \hat{A}_\mu^3 \longrightarrow \hat{A}_\mu^3 - \partial_\mu \hat{\theta}, \quad (55)$$

so we obtain the gauge transformations for  $a_\mu$ ,  $\hat{a}_\mu$ , and  $z^I$

$$z^I \longrightarrow e^{i(\theta - \hat{\theta})/2} z^I, \quad a_\mu \longrightarrow a_\mu - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \theta + \partial_\mu \hat{\theta}), \quad \hat{a}_\mu \longrightarrow \hat{a}_\mu - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \theta - \partial_\mu \hat{\theta}). \quad (56)$$

We define

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \hat{\theta}), \quad \hat{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta}), \quad (57)$$

Then we obtain the gauge transformations for  $a_\mu$ ,  $\hat{a}_\mu$ , and  $z^I$

$$z^I \longrightarrow e^{i\hat{\alpha}} z^I, \quad a_\mu \longrightarrow a_\mu - \partial_\mu \alpha, \quad \hat{a}_\mu \longrightarrow \hat{a}_\mu - \partial_\mu \hat{\alpha}. \quad (58)$$

We emphasize that both  $\alpha$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$  have period  $2\pi$ , which is consistent with the  $2\pi$  period for  $z^I$ .

Moreover, we introduce a Lagrange multiplier term

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu f_{\nu\lambda}, \quad (59)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a new scalar field and has period  $2\pi$ . And then we can treat  $f_{\mu\nu}$  as an independent variable.

Therefore, the relevant Lagrangian for the gauge and scalar fields is

$$\mathcal{L} = -|\partial_\mu z^I + i\hat{a}_\mu z^I|^2 + \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \hat{a}_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu f_{\nu\lambda}. \quad (60)$$

From the equation of motion for  $f_{\mu\nu}$ , we have

$$\hat{a}_\mu = \frac{1}{k} \partial_\mu \sigma. \quad (61)$$

Using this, we obtain the reduced action

$$\mathcal{L} = -|\partial_\mu z^I + \frac{i}{k}(\partial_\mu \sigma)z^I|^2. \quad (62)$$

From the Eqs. (58) and (61), we obtain the new gauge transformations for  $z^I$  and  $\sigma$

$$z^I \longrightarrow e^{i\hat{\alpha}} z^I, \quad \sigma \longrightarrow \sigma - k\hat{\alpha}. \quad (63)$$

Fixing the gauge  $\sigma = 0$ , we still have the residual gauge transformation

$$\hat{\alpha}(x) = \frac{2n\pi}{k}. \quad (64)$$

Thus, the moduli space is characterized by a set of eight complex numbers  $z^I$ , and the residual symmetry transformations are

$$z^I \longrightarrow e^{i2n\pi/k} z^I, \quad (65)$$

and

$$z^I \longrightarrow \bar{z}^I. \quad (66)$$

Therefore, we conclude that for  $k = 1$ , the moduli space is

$$(\mathbb{R}^8 \times \mathbb{R}^8)/Z_2, \quad (67)$$

for  $k = 2$ , the moduli space is

$$(\mathbb{R}^8 \times \mathbb{R}^8)/(Z_2 \times Z_2), \quad (68)$$

and for  $k > 2$ , the moduli space is

$$(\mathbb{R}^8 \times \mathbb{R}^8)/\text{Dih}_k, \quad (69)$$

where  $\text{Dih}_k$  is the dihedral group.

For  $k = p/q$  in general, the discussions of moduli space are similar to the above, so we will not present them here.

## B. Novel Higgs Mechanism

Similar to the Refs. [5, 12], we can obtain the D-brane action via the novel Higgs mechanism. For simplicity, we focus on the gauge fields here. Because we have the  $SU(8)$  global symmetry, we can always make the rotation so that only the scalar field  $z^8$  develops a vacuum expectation value (VEV)

$$\langle z^8 \rangle = v. \quad (70)$$



Then  $\hat{a}_\mu$  becomes massive, and we obtain the relevant Lagrangian for gauge fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \hat{a}_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} - v^2 \hat{a}_\mu^2, \quad (71)$$

where the second term comes from the kinetic term of  $z^8$ . Integrating out  $\hat{a}_\mu$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{k^2}{32\pi^2 v^2} f^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu}. \quad (72)$$

Thus, the gauge field  $a_\mu$  becomes a dynamical field, and its gauge coupling is

$$g = 2\sqrt{2}\pi \frac{v}{k}. \quad (73)$$

So, for very large  $v$  and  $k$ , we can still fix the gauge coupling  $g$  as a constant.

Because we have eight chiral superfields from  $z^I$  and  $\psi$ , we should have another decoupled  $U(1)$  gauge field in addition to  $a_\mu$  if we only have  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal symmetry. To be concrete, we shall choose the unitary gauge, and define  $z^8$  as follows

$$z^8 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho e^{i\phi}. \quad (74)$$

From the kinetic term of  $z^8$ , we obtain the kinetic term for  $\rho$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} |\partial_\mu \rho + i B_\mu \rho|^2, \quad (75)$$

where

$$B_\mu = \hat{a}_\mu + \partial_\mu \phi. \quad (76)$$

And the Chern-Simons term for the gauge fields becomes

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \hat{a}_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (B_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\mu \phi f_{\nu\lambda}). \quad (77)$$

Using the Bianchi identity for  $f_{\nu\lambda}$ , the last term in the above Lagrangian vanishes. Giving the following VEV to  $\rho$

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \sqrt{2}v, \quad (78)$$

we obtain the relevant Lagrangian for gauge fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} B_\mu f_{\nu\lambda} - v^2 B_\mu^2. \quad (79)$$

Integrating out the gauge field  $B_\mu$ , we obtain the same action for  $a_\mu$  given in Eq. (72).

Therefore, the physical picture is the following: the field  $\phi$  is eaten by the gauge field  $B_\mu$ , and the gauge field  $a_\mu$  becomes dynamical after  $B_\mu$  is integrated out. Equivalently speaking, the field  $\phi$  is eaten by the gauge field  $a_\mu$ . In addition,  $\rho$  is dual to the decoupled  $U(1)$  gauge field, which explains why we have eight chiral superfields from  $z^I$  and  $\psi$ . In the unitary gauge, similar discussions can be applied to the novel Higgs mechanism in all the  $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$  superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories.

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  gauge theories with two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{M}})$  representations, and the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  gauge theories with two pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields in the  $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})$  and  $(\overline{\mathbf{N}}, \overline{\mathbf{N}})$  representations. For our  $SU(N) \times SU(N)$  theory with  $N = 2$ , we reproduced the BLG theory. We also proposed the superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theories that have  $n$  pairs of bifundamental chiral superfields with the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \mp \mathbf{1})$ , or the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \pm \mathbf{1})$ . Although these theories have global symmetry  $SU(2n)$ , the R-symmetry is  $SO(6)$  for  $n = 2$  and might be  $SO(2n)$  or  $SO(2n + 1)$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 8$ .

In addition, we showed that in the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories, the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N') \times U(N')$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(N) \times U(M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories, and vice versa. Moreover, we proved that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories can be derived from the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(2M)$  theories. Also, both the ABJM  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories and our  $U(N) \times U(M)$  theories can be derived from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(2N) \times USp(2M)$  Chern-Simons gauge theories as well. Moreover, we explained that the  $SO(5)$  R-symmetry in the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(2) \times USp(2N)$  gauge theories is enhanced to  $SO(6)$ , and then we obtained the  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  superconformal  $U(1) \times USp(2N)$  gauge theories. Because  $G_2$  is a special maximal subgroup of  $SO(7)$ , it seems to us that the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $G_2 \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory might be obtained from the  $\mathcal{N} = 5$  superconformal  $O(7) \times USp(2)$  Chern-Simons gauge theory.

Furthermore, we derived the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory from the BLG theory, which can be considered as our superconformal  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge theory with four pairs of chiral superfields whose  $U(1) \times U(1)$  charges are  $(\pm \mathbf{1}, \mp \mathbf{1})$ . Also, we have studied the moduli space in details. Considering the novel Higgs mechanism in the unitary gauge, we suggested that this theory may describe a D2-brane and a decoupled D2-brane.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Cambridge-Mitchell Collaboration in Theoretical Cosmology.

---

- [1] J. H. Schwarz, JHEP **0411**, 078 (2004).
- [2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 045020 (2007).
- [3] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065008 (2008).
- [4] A. Gustavsson, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].
- [5] S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, JHEP **0805**, 085 (2008).
- [6] M. Van Raamsdonk, JHEP **0805**, 105 (2008).
- [7] N. Lambert and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 041602 (2008).
- [8] J. Distler, S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis and M. Van Raamsdonk, JHEP **0805**, 038 (2008).
- [9] P. M. Ho, R. C. Hou and Y. Matsuo, JHEP **0806**, 020 (2008).
- [10] G. Papadopoulos, JHEP **0805**, 054 (2008).
- [11] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, arXiv:0804.3078 [hep-th].
- [12] T. Li, Y. Liu and D. Xie, arXiv:0807.1183 [hep-th], and references therein.
- [13] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].
- [14] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, JHEP **0708**, 056 (2007).
- [15] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, arXiv:0804.2907 [hep-th].
- [16] I. Klebanov, T. Klose and A. Murugan, arXiv:0809.3773 [hep-th].
- [17] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, JHEP **0809**, 002 (2008).
- [18] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, arXiv:0807.0163 [hep-th].
- [19] M. Schnabl and Y. Tachikawa, arXiv:0807.1102 [hep-th].
- [20] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, D. Roest, H. Samtleben and E. Sezgin, arXiv:0807.2841 [hep-th].
- [21] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, arXiv:0807.4924 [hep-th].
- [22] M. Cvetič, P. Langacker, T. Li and T. Liu, Nucl. Phys. B **709**, 241 (2005).
- [23] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, JHEP **0809**, 072 (2008).
- [24] Robert N. Cahn, <<Semi-Simple Lie Algebras & Their Representations>>.